

FROM

(WED) AUG 4 2004 8:17/ST. 8:17/No. 6833031081 P 1

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG - 4 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

OFFICIAL

First Named Applicant: Stoodley

) Art Unit: 2124

Serial No.: 09/870,206

) Examiner: Ingberg

Filed: May 29, 2001

) CA920000079US1

For: **COMPILER GENERATION OF INSTRUCTION  
SEQUENCES FOR UNRESOLVED STORAGE  
REFERENCES**

) August 4, 2004  
) 750 B STREET, Suite 3120  
) San Diego, CA 92101  
)


COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

Applicant does not necessarily acquiesce in the examiner's characterization of Claim 1 that has been given in the reasons for allowance. For example, the examiner has read a limitation (just in time operation) into Claim 1 that he admits is not expressly required by Claim 1. He then defines "the invention" in terms that may or may not be correct, and he ignores some claim limitations altogether despite the fact that the claims as whole are to be considered for patentability. However, Applicant does indeed agree with the examiner's ultimate expert opinion that the claims are patentable.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John L. Rogitz  
Registration No. 33,549  
Attorney of Record  
750 B Street, Suite 3120  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Telephone: (619)

1176-G.RFA

BEST AVAILABLE COPY